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1. **INTRODUCTION**

The introduction should be clear and provide the issue to be discussed in the manuscript. Before the objective, authors should provide an adequate background, and very short literature survey in order to record the existing solutions, to show which is the best of previous researches, to show the main limitation of the previous researches, to show what do you hope to achieve (to solve the limitation), and to show the scientific merit or novelties of the paper.[[1]](#footnote-1)

At the end of the paragraph, the author/s should end with a comment on the significance concerning identification of the issue and objective of the research.[[2]](#footnote-2) This article analyses the comparative competition law related to abuse of a dominant market position using strategy of predatory pricing by undertakings in the European Union (hereinafter ‘EU’). For this purpose, a precise case study of the Valio case has been made.[[3]](#footnote-3) Antti Aine, Adjunct Professor of general competition law at the University of Turku as expert in field of European Competition Law, has been interviewed for this article.[[4]](#footnote-4) Also, EU law on predatory pricing has been compared to the United States’ predatory pricing law.

Therefore, this article explores the following research questions; whether EU Competition law policy is already excessively restrictive, and if so, what is the effect on the business development of undertakings with only one nationally dominant market position and, whether the products, which are legally classified as belonging to different product markets, belong de facto to the same product market in case of being fully interchangeable with each other?

1. **METHOD**

The method is optional for original research articles. This method is written in descriptive and should provide a statement regarding the methodology of the research. This method as much as possible to give an idea to the reader through the methods used. This Method are optional, only for original research articles.

1. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The writing of the Results and Discussion section contains the results or research findings (scientific finding) which is followed by a scientific discussion. The description of the discussion in the Results and Discussion chapter is descriptive, analytical and critical. The description of the discussion must be adjusted to the sequence of legal issues that are the main elements in the study. Theories included in the theoretical framework should be cited in this chapter. The state of art listed in the Introduction Section is also reviewed and elaborated in the Results and Discussion Section. The analysis in the Results and Discussion section can be supported by a table that is presented horizontally. Table presentation is equipped with “Table Title” and “Table Source.” Each Table is followed by the author's review and comments as part of the analysis of the Tables presented. In addition to the table, the provisions of the Act or other regulations presented are accompanied by studies and opinions of the authors in strengthening and sharpening the analysis of articles submitted for publication.

Tables and Figures are presented center and cited in the manuscript. The figures should be clearly readable and at least have a resolution of 300 DPI (Dots Per Inch) for good printing quality. Table made with the open model (without the vertical lines) as shown below:

**Table 1.** Global Piracy: Actual and Attempted Piracy Attack in Different Regions, 2007-2016.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Locations** | **2007** | **2008** | **2009** | **2010** | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** | **2016** |
| Southeast Asia | 158 | 83 | 70 | 54 | 47 | 70 | 80 | 104 | 128 | 141 |
| Far East | 15 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 22 | 44 | 23 | 7 | 13 | 8 |
| Indian Sub-continent | 32 | 53 | 30 | 23 | 30 | 29 | 16 | 19 | 26 | 34 |
| South America | 45 | 29 | 21 | 14 | 37 | 40 | 25 | 17 | 18 | 5 |
| Africa | 71 | 61 | 120 | 189 | 266 | 259 | 293 | 150 | 79 | 55 |
| Rest of World | 8 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 329 | 239 | 263 | 293 | 410 | 445 | 439 | 297 | 264 | 245 |

*Source: Primary data, 2017 (Edited).*

* 1. **Main Heading of the First Analysis or Discussion**

This section is the most important section of your article. The analysis or results of the research should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Please highlight differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

* + 1. **Sub-heading of the discussion**
    2. **etc…**
  1. **Main Heading of the Second Analysis or Discussion etc..**

This section is the most important section of your article. The analysis or results of the research should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Please highlight differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.
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1. **CONCLUSION**

Conclusion contains a description that should answer the objectives of research. Provide a clear and concise conclusion. Do not repeat the Abstract or simply describe the results of the research. Give a clear explanation regarding the possible application and/or suggestions related to the research findings.
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